

1
2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

8
9 ARTHUR D. RICHARDSON,
10 Plaintiff,
11 v.
12 RENO POLICE DEPARTMENT, *et al.*,
13 Defendants.

* * *

Case No. 3:17-cv-00383-MMD-WGC

ORDER ACCEPTING AND ADOPTING
REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
OF MAGISTRATE JUDGE
WILLIAM G. COBB

14 Before the Court is the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate
15 Judge William G. Cobb (ECF No. 7) ("R&R") relating to plaintiff's application to proceed
16 *in forma pauperis* ("IFP Application") (ECF No. 1) and *pro se* complaint (ECF No. 1-1).
17 Plaintiff filed his response on November 13, 2017 ("Response") (ECF No. 8.), indicating
18 his acceptance of the R&R.

19 This Court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or
20 recommendations made by the magistrate judge." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Where a party
21 timely objects to a magistrate judge's report and recommendation, then the court is
22 required to "make a *de novo* determination of those portions of the [report and
23 recommendation] to which objection is made." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Where a party fails
24 to object, however, the court is not required to conduct "any review at all . . . of any issue
25 that is not the subject of an objection." *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985).
26 Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a
27 magistrate judge's report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. See
28 *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114 (9th Cir. 2003) (disregarding the standard

1 of review employed by the district court when reviewing a report and recommendation to
2 which no objections were made); *see also Schmidt v. Johnstone*, 263 F. Supp. 2d 1219,
3 1226 (D. Ariz. 2003) (reading the Ninth Circuit's decision in *Reyna-Tapia* as adopting the
4 view that district courts are not required to review "any issue that is not the subject of an
5 objection."). Thus, if there is no objection to a magistrate judge's recommendation, then
6 the court may accept the recommendation without review. *See, e.g., Johnstone*, 263 F.
7 Supp. 2d at 1226 (accepting, without review, a magistrate judge's recommendation to
8 which no objection was filed).

9 In terms of Plaintiff's complaint, the Magistrate Judge recommends allowing
10 Plaintiff to proceed on his Fourth Amendment excessive force claim against the
11 individual officers. The Magistrate Judge, however, recommends dismissing Plaintiff's
12 claim against the Reno Police Department ("RPD") based on Plaintiff's failure to allege a
13 *Monell* claim against RPD with leave to amend. In his response, Plaintiff states that will
14 proceed against the officers. The Court construes Plaintiff's reponse as an
15 acknowledgment that Plaintiff does not wish to amend his complaint to assert a *Monell*
16 claim against RPD.

17 It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed that the Report and
18 Recommendation of Magistrate Judge William G. Cobb (ECF No. 7) is accepted and
19 adopted in its entirety.

20 It is ordered that plaintiff's application to proceed *in forma pauperis* (ECF No. 1)
21 without having to prepay the full filing fee is granted. Plaintiff will be required to pay an
22 initial parital filing fee in the amount of \$23.93. Thereafter, whenever his prison account
23 eceeds \$10, he will be required to make monthly payments in the mount of twenty
24 percent (20%) of the preceding month's income credited to his account until the filing fee
25 is paid.

26 It is further ordered that the Clerk detach and file the complaint (ECF No. 1-1).

27 It is further ordered that the plaintiff will be permitted to proceed with this Fourth
28 Amendment excessive force claims against Defendants Good, Flickinger, and Leedy.

1 It is further ordered that the claim against Reno Police Department is dismissed
2 without prejudice.

3 DATED THIS 8th day of January 2018.

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Miranda M. Du', is written over a horizontal line.

MIRANDA M. DU
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE